arXiv:1511.05139v1 [physics.optics] 16 Nov 2015

Photon Momentum in Linear Dielectric Media

Michael E. Crenshaw
Aviation and Missile Research, Development, and Engineering Center,
US Army RDECOM, Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898, USA
(Dated: November 18, 2015)

According to the scientific literature, the momentum of a photon in a simple linear dielectric is either $\hbar\omega/(nc)$ or $n\hbar\omega/c$ with a unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k$ in the direction of propagation. These momentums are typically used to argue the century-old Abraham–Minkowski controversy in which the momentum density of the electromagnetic field in a dielectric is either the Abraham momentum density, $\mathbf{g}_A = \mathbf{E}\times\mathbf{H}/c$, or the Minkowski momentum density, $\mathbf{g}_M = \mathbf{D}\times\mathbf{B}/c$. The elementary optical excitations, photons, are typically known as polaritions in the particular case of light traveling in a dielectric medium. Applying the relativistic energy formula, we find that the total momentum that is attributable to a polariton in a dielectric is $\hbar\omega\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k/c$ corresponding to a total momentum density $\mathbf{g}_T = n\mathbf{E}\times\mathbf{B}/c$.

In Maxwellian continuum electrodynamics, the speed of light in a simple linear dielectric is c/n, where n is the macroscopic refractive index of the dielectric. Adopting a more microscopic approach, Feynman [1] argues that the speed of light in a dielectric is always c, but only appears to be c/n due to interference between the source wave and reaction fields that are generated by oscillating charges. The scientific literature teaches us that a microscopic photon traveling at speed c in the vacuum slows to c/n upon entering a dielectric [2, 3]. While the reduced velocity of the photon suggests that the momentum of the photon becomes $\hbar\omega\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k/(nc)$, there are other arguments for assigning the value $n\hbar\omega\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k/c$ to the momentum of the photon [2–6], where $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k$ is a unit vector in the direction of propagation of the macroscopic field. The disparate momentums of a photon in a dielectric are often used as proxies for the disputed momentum of the macroscopic electromagnetic field in the Abraham–Minkowski momentum debate [2, 3, 6–9]. In this scenario, the photon momentum

$$\mathbf{p}_A = \frac{\hbar\omega}{nc}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k \tag{1}$$

corresponds to the Abraham field momentum

$$\mathbf{G}_A = \int_\sigma \frac{\mathbf{E}\times\mathbf{H}}{c}dv \tag{2}$$

and

$$\mathbf{p}_M = \frac{n\hbar\omega}{c}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k \tag{3}$$

is associated with the Minkowski field momentum

$$\mathbf{G}_M = \int_\sigma \frac{\mathbf{D}\times\mathbf{B}}{c}dv\,. \tag{4}$$

The respective momentum densities are integrated over all space σ. The current consensus resolution of the Abraham–Minkowski controversy is that both momentum formulas are correct [3, 7].

Photons are massless particles of electromagnetic energy that travel at speed c through the vacuum. At the fundamental, microscopic level, dielectrics consist of tiny bits of polarizable matter and host matter separated by relatively large distances. Then it might be argued that photons are massless particles of electromagnetic energy that, between scattering events, travel at an instantaneous speed c through the interstitial vacuum of a rare medium. At the opposite, macroscopic, limit of matter, the refractive index n is defined in terms of the effective speed of light c/n in an idealized model of dielectric matter that is continuous at all length scales. The basic excitations of a dielectric in the continuum limit are polariton quasiparticles that contain energy of all the fields in the dielectric [10, 11]. Such particles are spatially extensive and represent the total macroscopic electromagnetic field over a macroscopic region of the dielectric. The total macroscopic field includes a polarization field that is generated by charges in the material oscillating in reaction to the source field. The polarization reaction field travels with the propagating electromagnetic field.

A polariton is identified with a specific quantity of electromagnetic energy, a fact that requires the speed of a polariton to be the speed of the electromagnetic field. A microscopic description that details the instantaneous velocity of the electromagnetic components of a polariton is outside the scope of continuum electrodynamics; But, the effective speed of a polariton is c/n in accordance with the Feynman description of light propagation in a dielectric [1]. In this work, we show that the effective momentum of the elementary optical excitation of a dielectric is

$$\mathbf{p} = \frac{\hbar\omega}{c}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k\,. \tag{5}$$

The corresponding momentum of the macroscopic electromagnetic field,

$$\mathbf{G}_T = \int_\sigma \frac{n\mathbf{E}\times\mathbf{B}}{c}dv\,, \tag{6}$$

is the conserved total momentum in a thermodynamically closed system that consists of a quasimonochromatic field incident on a negligibly reflecting simple linear dielectric. The total momentum, Eq. (6), has been proved to

be conserved for quasimonochromatic radiation incident on a dilute, rare, or anti-reflection-coated simple linear dielectric [7, 12–14].

Consider an inertial reference frame $S(\tau, x, y, z)$ with orthogonal axes x, y, and z and temporal coordinate τ. Position vectors are denoted by $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$. If a light pulse is emitted from the origin at time $\tau = 0$, then

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - (c\tau)^2 = 0 \tag{7}$$

describes spherical wavefronts in the $S(\tau, x, y, z)$ system. Writing time as a spatial coordinate $c\tau$, the four-vector [15]

$$\mathbb{X} = (c\tau, \mathbf{x}) = (c\tau, x, y, z) \tag{8}$$

represents the position of a point in a dielectric-filled four-dimensional flat non-Minkowski spacetime in which the temporal coordinate is $\tau = t/n$. Equation (8) is a mathematically precise representation of a point in the coordinate system $(c\tau, x, y, z)$. Next, we want to investigate the physical implications of this mathematical fact.

Consider two inertial reference frames, $S(\tau, x, y, z)$ and $S'(\tau', x', y', z')$, in a standard configuration [16, 17] in which S' translates at a constant velocity u in the direction of the positive x axis and the origins of the two systems coincide at time $\tau = \tau' = 0$. If a light pulse is emitted from the common origin at time $\tau = 0$, then

$$(x')^2 + (y')^2 + (z')^2 - (c\tau')^2 = 0 \tag{9}$$

describes wavefronts in the S' system and Eq. (7) holds for wavefronts in S. It is relatively straightforward to derive transformations between these coordinate systems by the usual methods of special relativity [18]. Now,

$$u = \frac{dx}{d\tau} = \frac{dx}{dt}\frac{dt}{d\tau} = vn\,. \tag{10}$$

Then the transformation for x,

$$x = \gamma_d(x' + u\tau')\,, \tag{11}$$

becomes

$$x = \gamma_d(x' + nv\tau')\,. \tag{12}$$

Similarly, the inverse transformation is

$$x' = \gamma_d(x - nv\tau)\,. \tag{13}$$

Substituting $x = c\tau$ and $x' = c\tau'$ into Eqs. (12) and (13), we eliminate the temporal variables and obtain the material Lorentz factor [15, 19–21]

$$\gamma_d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{n^2v^2}{c^2}}}\,. \tag{14}$$

This derivation confirms the rather obvious phenomenological results that are obtained by substituting the vacuum speed of light c with the speed of light c/n in a dielectric [19]. This result differs from the usual Lorentz factor for a dielectric

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \tag{15}$$

that is derived using the relativistic velocity addition theorem [22] and is confirmed by the Fizeau frame-dragging experiment. No contradiction exists because the Fizeau experiment requires that measurements are made in a vacuum-based laboratory reference frame [20]. Likewise, the velocity addition theorem is predicated on a laboratory frame of reference for the observer. For the physically distinct situation that we are considering here, both inertial frames of reference reside within the dielectric medium making Eq. (14) the correct Lorentz factor for our system. With further algebra, we obtain the complete material Lorentz transformation [15]

$$x = \gamma_d(x' + nv\tau') \tag{16a}$$

$$y = y' \tag{16b}$$

$$z = z' \tag{16c}$$

$$\tau = \gamma_d\left(\tau' + \frac{nv}{c^2}x'\right)\,. \tag{16d}$$

for the case when both inertial reference systems are within the simple linear dielectric.

The invariant [15]

$$(\Delta\bar{X}_0)^2 = (c/n)^2(\Delta t)^2 - ((\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2 + (\Delta z)^2) \tag{17}$$

can be written in terms of the spatial interval

$$c\Delta T = \frac{c}{n}\Delta t\sqrt{1 - \frac{n^2}{c^2}\left(\left(\frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta y}{\Delta t}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta z}{\Delta t}\right)^2\right)} \tag{18}$$

from which we obtain the interval of proper time

$$dT = \frac{dt}{\gamma_d n}. \tag{19}$$

Taking the derivative of the position four-vector, Eq. (8), with respect to the proper time, we obtain the four-velocity

$$\mathbb{U} = \frac{d\mathbb{X}}{dT} = \frac{d\mathbb{X}}{dt}\frac{dt}{dT} = \gamma_d n\left(\frac{c}{n}, \frac{dx}{dt}, \frac{dy}{dt}, \frac{dz}{dt}\right)\,. \tag{20}$$

The corresponding proper three-velocity is

$$\mathbf{u} = \gamma_d n\mathbf{v}\,. \tag{21}$$

Similarly, the four-momentum in a dielectric medium is

$$\mathbb{P} = m\mathbb{U} = \gamma_d nm\left(\frac{c}{n}, \frac{dx}{dt}, \frac{dy}{dt}, \frac{dz}{dt}\right) \tag{22}$$

with a corresponding proper three-momentum

$$\mathbf{p} = \gamma_d n m \mathbf{v} \,. \tag{23}$$

Substituting the material Lorentz factor, Eq. (14), into the Einstein energy formula

$$E^2 = M^2c^4 = \gamma_d^2 m^2 c^4 = m^2c^4 + (\gamma_d^2 - 1)m^2c^4 \tag{24}$$

yields

$$E^2 = m^2c^4 + \gamma_d^2 n^2 v^2 m^2 c^2 \,. \tag{25}$$

Substituting Eq. (23) into the previous equation, we find

$$E^2 = m^2c^4 + \mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{p}c^2 \tag{26}$$

for a dielectric medium. Although the final result, Eq. (26), is identical to the relativistic energy formula derived by Einstein for the vacuum, several of the intermediate results, like the material Lorentz factor, Eq. (14), the material Lorentz transformation, Eq. (16), and the interval of proper time, Eq. (19), are significant because they differ from the well-known quantities that were derived in a different physical setting.

Polaritons are macroscopic compositions of the electromagnetic energy of the microscopic source and reaction fields [10, 11]. Invoking Feynman [1], the microscopic fields that contribute to the polariton travel at c, consequently, polaritons are required to be massless, just like photons. The effective momentum of a massless particle of light in a dielectric is given by Eq. (26) as

$$\mathbf{p} = \frac{E}{c}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k \,. \tag{27}$$

Associating a volume V with each polariton, we obtain the electromagnetic momentum density $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{p}/V$. Integrating the momentum density over all-space we obtain the momentum

$$\mathbf{G}_T = \int_\sigma \frac{1}{c}\frac{E}{V}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k dv = \int_\sigma \frac{1}{2}\frac{n^2\mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{B}^2}{c}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k \, dv \,. \tag{28}$$

Then we can associate the momentum of a polariton with the total momentum

$$\mathbf{G}_T = \int_\sigma \frac{n\mathbf{E}\times\mathbf{B}}{c} dv \tag{29}$$

by associating $|\mathbf{B}|$ with $n|\mathbf{E}|$ for quasimonochromatic fields in the plane-wave limit. Global conservation of the momentum quantity represented by Eq. (29) is documented [7, 12, 13] for quasimonochromatic radiation incident on a dilute, rare, or anti-reflection coated material. As can be seen in Eq. (28), conservation of $\mathbf{G}_T$ is also guaranteed by conservation of electromagnetic energy. We adopt current practice and define a polariton in terms of a fixed amount of energy in an optical field of a given frequency [23, 24] and substitute the Planck relation $E = \hbar\omega$ into Eq. (27) to obtain

$$\mathbf{p} = \frac{\hbar\omega}{c}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k \tag{30}$$

for the effective momentum of a polariton.

The result that is derived here differs from the prior art that is displayed in Eqs. (1) and (3). The advantage of the current result is that the corresponding field momentum, Eq. (29), is conserved for a quasimonochromatic field incident on a negligibly reflecting stationary simple linear dielectric. The Abraham and Minkowski formulations assume a separate, material, contribution to the momentum in order to preserve conservation of linear momentum [2–8]. Assuming the Abraham form for the momentum of a polariton, Eq (1), we spatially integrate the Abraham momentum density, $\mathbf{g}_A = \mathbf{p}_A/V$, to obtain

$$\mathbf{G}_A = \int_\sigma \frac{1}{c}\frac{E}{nV}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k dv = \int_\sigma \frac{1}{2}\frac{n^2\mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{B}^2}{nc}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k \, dv \,. \tag{31}$$

Associating $|\mathbf{B}|$ with $n|\mathbf{E}|$, as before, we obtain the Abraham momentum formula

$$\mathbf{G}_A = \int_\sigma \frac{\mathbf{E}\times\mathbf{B}}{c} dv \tag{32}$$

for the momentum of the macroscopic electromagnetic field. For the thermodynamically closed system considered here, the total momentum must be conserved. By construction, the material kinetic momentum

$$\mathbf{G}_k = \mathbf{G}_T - \mathbf{G}_A = (n-1)\int_\sigma \frac{\mathbf{E}\times\mathbf{B}}{c} dv \tag{33}$$

added to the Abraham momentum is equal to the total momentum. Similarly, the material kinetic momentum that is associated with a polariton is

$$\mathbf{p}_k = \frac{\hbar\omega}{c}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k - \frac{\hbar\omega}{nc}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\frac{\hbar\omega}{c}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k \,. \tag{34}$$

In this scenario, the effective mass of a polariton is

$$m_{eff} = \sqrt{E^2/c^4 - (\mathbf{p}_A + \mathbf{p}_k)\cdot(\mathbf{p}_A + \mathbf{p}_k)/c^2} = 0, \tag{35}$$

although the rest mass, which has an entirely different physical context, continues to be $E/c = \hbar\omega/c$. Turning to the Minkowski photon momentum, Eq. (3), we have the material canonical momentum in terms of the macroscopic electromagnetic field,

$$\mathbf{G}_c = \mathbf{G}_T - \mathbf{G}_M = \left(n - n^2\right)\int_\sigma \frac{\mathbf{E}\times\mathbf{B}}{c} dv \,, \tag{36}$$

the material canonical momentum of a polariton,

$$\mathbf{p}_c = \frac{\hbar\omega}{c}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k - \frac{n\hbar\omega}{c}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k = (1-n)\frac{\hbar\omega}{c}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k \,, \tag{37}$$

and an effective mass of zero for the polariton.

In conclusion, we derived the momentum of a polariton in a simple linear dielectric that consists of an arbitrarily large region of space in which the effective speed of light is c/n. The consensus resolution of the Abraham–Minkowski controversy is that the total momentum of the

macroscopic field is composed of a two separate components of momentum [7]. Historically, the total momentum was viewed as a composite of a field-only momentum and a matter-only momentum where the question was the form of the field component of momentum. Recently, Barnett [3] has shown that the total momentum can viewed as a composite of the Minowski momentum and a material canonical momentum, as well as the Abraham momentum and a material kinetic momentum. This is confirmed above as the two formulations are equivalent. The advantage of the current formulation is that the theory can be cast in terms of the total momentum without the necessity of separate handling of the field and material parts.

[1] R. P. Feynman, R. B. Leighton, and M. L. Sands, *The Feynman Lectures on Physics*, Vol. 1, Chap. 31, (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1963).
[2] S. M. Barnett and R. Loudon, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A **368,** 927 (2010).
[3] S. M. Barnett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104,** 070401 (2010).
[4] M. J. Padgett, Opt. Exp. **16,** 20864 (2008).
[5] T. M. Grzegorezyk and B. A. Kemp, Proc. SPIE **7038,** Optical Trapping and Optical Micromanipulation V, 70381S (2008).
[6] B. A. Kemp, J. App. Phys. **109,** 111101 (2011).
[7] R. N. C. Pfeifer, T. A. Nieminen, N. R. Heckenberg, and H. Rubinsztein-Dunlop, Rev. Mod. Phys. **79,** 1197 (2007).
[8] P. W. Milonni and R. W. Boyd, Adv. Opt. and Phot. **2,** 519 (2010).
[9] D. J. Griffiths, Am. J. Phys. **80,** 7 (2012).
[10] J. J. Hopfield Phys. Rev. **112,** 1555 (1958).
[11] J. Knoester and S. Mukamel, Phys. Rev. A **40,** 7065 (1989).
[12] J. P. Gordon, Phys. Rev. A **8,** 14 (1973).
[13] M. E. Crenshaw and T. B. Bahder, Opt. Commun. **284,** 2460 (2011).
[14] M. E. Crenshaw, J. Math. Phys. **55,** 042901 (2014).
[15] F. Ravndal, e-print arXiv:0804.4013v3 (2008).
[16] W. Rindler, *Introduction to Special Relativity*, (Oxford, New York,1982).
[17] P. M. Schwarz and J. H. Schwarz, *Special Relativity: From Einstein to Strings*, (Cambridge, New York, 2004).
[18] P. A. Tipler, *Modern Physics*, (Worth, New York, 1978).
[19] N. Rosen, Am. J. Phys. **20,** 161 (1952).
[20] M. Crenshaw, e-print arXiv:1502.05997 (2015).
[21] M. Crenshaw, Proc. SPIE **9548,** Optical Trapping and Optical Micromanipulation XII, 9508OJ (2015).
[22] C. Møller, *The Theory of Relativity*, 2nd ed., (Oxford, New York, 1972).
[23] I. Ojima and H. Saigo, Open Syst. Inf. Dyn. **19,** 1250008 (2012).
[24] D. L. Andrews, Proc. SPIE **7421,** The Nature of Light: What are Photons? III, 742109 (2009).